

## 2030 PLAN STEERING COMMITTEE

Friday, August 26, 2016

3:00 – 5:00 p.m.

Bertolini 4246

### MEETING NOTES

ATTENDING: Kris Abrahamson, Laura Aspinall, Maria Banachowicz, Paul Bielen, Shawn Brumbaugh, Rick Call, Frank Chong, Scott Conrad, Hector Delgado, Carl Dobson, Robert Ethington, Joe Fassler, Robin Fautley, Alexa Forrester, KC Greaney, Doug Kuula, Sami Lange, Matthew Long, Ellen Maremont-Silver, Karen Frindell-Teuscher, Kathy Matthies, Leslie McCauley, Liko Puha, Doug Roberts, Mary Kay Rudolph, Jane Saldana-Talley (co-chair), Stephanie Sanchez, Mary Sandberg, Leigh Sata (co-chair), Eric Thompson (co-chair), Kat Valenzuela, Alicia Virtue, Hilleary Zarate

ABSENT: Phyllis Usina, Tara Johnson, Henry Beaumont, Jordan Panana Carbajal, Toni Chase, Jana Cox, Karen Furukawa-Schlereth, Lily Hunnemedder-Bergfelt, Marty Lee, Kate McClintock, Terry Mulcaire (for Julie Thompson), Jerry Miller, Sabrina Rawson, Mike Roth, Dennis Verity

GUESTS: Gray Dougherty, Steve Kwok, Dana Dimalanta

Agenda Items	Discussion	Follow-up
1. Welcome/Introductions <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Introductions/Outcomes/Agenda</li></ul>	Jane welcomed members, guests and Trustee Rick Call to the first meeting of the 2030 Plan Steering Committee for Fall 2016 and introduced Dr. Chong.  Dr. Chong welcomed the committee back by saying he was pleased with the PDA presentations and the tremendous progress the committee has made in a year. He commended the work of the 2030 PSC, Gensler and QKA, and noted how everyone has answered his initial challenge to participate and lead. He reminded the committee that the Facilities Master Plan will be presented to the Board for approval in October. He also thanked those involved with the Burbank Theater project for all the effort and time they put into the project over the summer.	
2. Continuous Process Improvement (CPI) <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Review – Criteria for project prioritization</li><li>• PDA Day presentations</li></ul>	<b>Project Prioritization</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• The implementation plan will be discussed in the remaining meetings. There is not enough funding in Measure H to meet all of the needs.</li></ul>	Address priority list sent in May email by Dr. Chong.

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Demo Classroom start-up</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The criteria for the implementation plan were shared previously and include: unfinished business from Measure A, health and safety, high productivity buildings and internal program data, workforce trends (county job and population growth data), and community-building.</li> </ul> <p><b>PDA Presentations: Feedback</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The Demonstration classroom sessions were attended by 50 Faculty, 10 Classified and 5 Administrators. Improvements to furniture and technology will be evaluated and a second phase Utology upgrade will be implemented in the spring semester.</li> <li>• Scheduling office requests any changes in the chair counts (seating capacity). The team responded that the committee checked the SIS system and confirmed the chair count ahead of time.</li> <li>• There was disappointment expressed with the ADA desk selection. The furniture is not movable and the mechanized aids were not designed for persons with disabilities.</li> <li>• It was pointed out that the new desks have more failure modes, compared to furniture on sleds. The furniture choices were vetted by the committee and long term warranties are included.</li> <li>• There was recognition of Facilities and Media staff who set up desks and equipment past midnight in order to ensure the demonstration classrooms were ready for PDA and the start of school.</li> <li>• Will classroom square footages be increased to accommodate flexible furniture? Answer: A class size committee is reviewing this issue.</li> <li>• October 21 is the final meeting and wrap-up for the 2030 Plan Steering Committee.</li> </ul>	
<p>3. Subcommittee Presentations - PDA</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Landscape</li> <li>• Architecture</li> <li>• Sustainability</li> </ul>	<p><b>Presentations:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The Landscape, Architectural and Sustainability committees each presented a ten minute summary of their PDA presentations. These committees (and the others) will present to the Governing Board on September 28<sup>th</sup>.</li> </ul> <p><b>QUESTIONS and COMMENTS:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Q: Putting learning on display is a good idea, but how safe is glass in an earthquake? A: Glass is as safe as other material and all construction in the California Community College system is approved by the State Architect and meets earthquake safety standards.</li> </ul>	

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Hiring of additional classified staff should be considered if facility growth leads to additional work.</li> <li>• Q: Are there native plants? A: Natives will be considered as they are better suited for the specific campuses.</li> <li>• Q: Beyond irrigation, how can the District save water? A: The District pumps 10k gallons of gray water daily from the basement of Maggini Hall. The team is exploring how to use this water for irrigation and flushing toilets.</li> <li>• Q: Sustainability ideas may cost money. A: Sustainability initiatives, like other projects, will be prioritized. A professional cost estimator will refine pricing and we will include as many initiatives as possible.</li> <li>• Q: Can we improve safety and circulation on the SR campus? A: The plan will include separate paths for wheeled vehicles and pedestrians, and will eventually be closed to through traffic. The east-west bike 101 overcrossing will bring riders from around the city and it's important that the pathway is clearly marked.</li> </ul>	
<p>4. Site Plan Development</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Petaluma</li> <li>• Santa Rosa</li> </ul>	<p><b>Petaluma:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Goals are to improve wayfinding and flow around campus, strengthen campus identity by creating a more prominent street presence, and integrate advanced learning ideas and discovery.</li> <li>• Improve connections - between campus and community.</li> <li>• Measure H priorities include a new classroom facility, an improvement to student services, and a solar array in the parking lots.</li> </ul> <p><b>Santa Rosa:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Campus character will remain, with buildings in a park-like setting. Re-organize the campus around clear gateways and circulation spines that recall the original campus plan from (close to) 100 years ago.</li> <li>• Celebrate the central landscape courtyard spine through Analy and visually connect the campus across Mendocino, recalling an early 1930s site plan of the College.</li> <li>• Campus organizational goals: Remove small parking lots on campus and close Elliott except for emergency access.</li> </ul> <p><b>QUESTIONS, COMMENTS and DISCUSSION:</b></p>	<p>Review document on Basecamp, send questions and feedback to Basecamp.</p>

- Q: Can a crosswalk over Mendocino be installed between the Culinary building and main campus? A: Paul has been in discussion with the City of SR, and the discussions are ongoing.
- Q: Is it possible to have a safe bike path on campus? A: Best solution is to test it first. Parts of the path may be changed to “walk only” or “slow zone,” particularly in front of Zumwalt.
- A few key issues are how to prioritize solar, swing space, and to minimize the loss of parking during the program.
- Q: Is this conversation confidential? We wanted to share the plans and start the conversation about priorities. A: Site plans are still under 2030 Plan Steering Committee review and are not yet ready for public review.
- Q: What factors influence the plan? A: STEM and the Barnett replacement schedule are driven by state money in a 2 year funding cycle.
- Q: How do the assessments of historic buildings fit in? A: The projects will be evaluated once the final assessments and structural reports are received and analyzed.
- The ADA transition plan is not complete, so we don’t have this final piece of cost information. The structural and campus underground utility systems are still being evaluated.
- Q: What happens to Analy Village? A: The goal is to use the building for swing space and then remove those buildings at the end of the program.
- Q: What about the Tauzer Dance Studio? A: An elevator will need to be installed if the space is occupied.
- Q: What is the status of the State Education Bond? A: Right now, the polls are favorable.
- Q: What is the plan for Baker? A: Because Baker received funding in Measure A, there are no plans for a Phase 2 science project in Measure H. However, smaller improvements may be considered.
- Q: What is the State funding process for the Science building? A: Once the “Final Project Plan (FPP)” is approved by the State, the program is fixed and Biology labs cannot be added to the project without a full resubmittal.

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Q: Can we start the process over and include Biology? A: Withdrawing the State application means going to the end of the line and starting over. There is substantial risk that the project would not receive funding at all.</li> <li>• The goal is to be transparent about the process. We can't change the past but can work with all of you for the future. There will be a new science lab in Petaluma.</li> <li>• Q: Is it a good idea to build the Elliott projects as permanent buildings to (eventually) house HR and the Foundation? A: The idea was to create permanent buildings for swing space, then convert those buildings to permanent office buildings. The student surveys cite a lack of student parking in SR, and this plan would create room for over 100 surface parking spaces.</li> <li>• The plan is data driven and the parking issue will be addressed when the parking survey (being created by a parking engineer) is completed later this month.</li> <li>• Please continue to post questions, concerns and comments to Basecamp.</li> </ul>	
<b>ADJOURN</b>	Adjourn: 5:04 p.m.	

**FUTURE MEETINGS:**

Notes compiled by Susan Brouwer

**Future Meetings:**

- September 23 – Project prioritization (Bond Spending Plan)
- October 14 –HOLD for “parking lot” agenda items
- October 21 Wrap Up and Thank you